Pedestrian Project Costs (No Existing Curb/Gutter - 60th Avenue W from 176th St. SW to 188th St. SW)									
						e of Street			
			Total	Cost per	Total	Cost per			
Cross Section Type	Example	Cross Section Description	Cost (000)	Lineal Foot	Cost (000)	Lineal Foot	Impacts		
Cross section type	LAMIPLE	Option 1 – 5' concrete sidewalk both sides with Low Impact Development (LID) standards	\$3,135	\$810	\$1,568	\$405	Improved sustainable environment Improved pedestrian safety Better walking experience / environ. Less impact to drainage system Highest cost option Requires greater maintenance		
							Requires most Right of way Location specific due to ROW and soil		
		Option 2 –5' concrete sidewalk both sides, curb/gutter, with 4' landscape strip	\$2,491	\$640	\$1,246	\$320	Improved pedestrian safety Moderate sustainability Lower maintenance than asphalt Longer life span for concrete Addtl maintenance for landscaping Detention required Additional ROW needed Moderately high cost		
		Option 3 – 5' concrete sidewalk both sides, curb/gutter, both sides of road	\$2,178	\$560	\$1,060	\$280	Moderate cost Lowest maintenance needs Less ROW required Less safe for pedestrians More obstructions in walkway likely Reduced aesthetics		
		Option 4 – 5' asphalt walkway w/ Extruded Curb both sides of road	\$1,655	\$430	\$828	\$215	Lowest cost option Easiest option to construct Less ROW required Less safe for pedestrians High Maintenance costs More obstructions in walkway likely Reduced aesthetics Shortest lifespan		

			Both Sides of Street		One Side of Street		
			Total	Cost per	Total	Cost per	
		Cross Section	Cost	Lineal	Cost	Lineal	
Cross Section Type	Example	Description	(000)	Foot	(000)	Foot	Impacts
		Existing - 11' lanes both	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		sides; 5-7' asphalt					
		walkway one side, 40' to					
		60' right of way					
5-7'	Along The Control of						
	76-1-2						
	237 1						
		Option 1 – 5' Bike Lane	\$1,310	\$340	\$655		 Provides maximum bike
8 5 8		(Class II) and 11' lane (16'					 Increases site distance a
		total) both sides					 Improves bike awarene
							 Likely to attract more bit
< 5' < 11' > < 5' >							 Larger buffer for pedest
5' 11' 11' 5'	06						 Most expensive option
							 Moderate maintenance
							 More ROW required
		Option 2 – Wide outside	\$880	\$230	\$440		 Lower cost option
		Curb Lane (14') both					 Slightly lower maintena
	TI THE TANK OF THE PARTY OF THE	sides, Signage (Class III)					 Less ROW requirement
							 Less safe for bicyclists
14' 14'		8					 Reduced bike awarenes
•							 Less buffer for pedestria
				1			 Debris more likely to aff

Bicycle Project Costs and Issues (Existing Curb and Gutter - 52nd Avenue W from SR 99 to 196th St SW)								
.,,			Both Sides of Street					
			Total	Cost per	Total	Cost per		
		Cross Section	Cost	Lineal	Cost	Lineal		
Cross Section Type	Example	Description	(000)	Foot	(000)	Foot	Impacts	
		Existing - 40' Curb to Curb		NA	NA	NA NA		
**************************************	690	Option 1 — Widen Road a total of 8' to keep On- Street Parking and 5' bike lane both sides (Class II)	\$4,882 (Widen to both sides) \$3,412 Widen to one side)	\$1,030 (Widen to both sides) \$720 (Widen to one side)	\$2,441 (Widen to both sides)	(Widen to both	Retains parking both sides Completes the Street Improved buffer for pedestrians Most expensive option Adds more pervious surface Requires more ROW Greater pavement maintenance	
	ore	Option 2 – Retrofit by removing On-Street Parking one side to Provide 5' bike lane both sides (Class II)	\$85	\$18	\$43		Least expensive option No major construction needed No ROW Needed No conflict w/ parked cars one side Loss of parking one side No pervious surface added	